## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

ONE WINTER STREET, BOSTON, MA 02108 617-292-5500

	February 9, 2006
In the Matter of Jay Santos	Docket No. 2005-247 File No. UAO-NE-05-06W011 Millis
	_

## RECOMMENDED FINAL DECISION

The petitioner in this matter, Jay Santos, requested an adjudicatory hearing on the above referenced Unilateral Administrative Order (UAO) by filing a fee transmittal form. The form records the petitioner's name and address, the UAO number and enclosed the \$100 appeal filing fee. On January 9, 2006. I issued an Order for A More Definite Statement, seeking a clear and concise statement of the factual basis of the appeal, the relief requested a copy of the document being appealed, and payment of the \$100 filing fee as the initial payment by check had been returned unpaid. All of this information, and payment, was ordered to be submitted by January 18, 2006, upon pain of dismissal under 310 CMR 1.01(6)(b).

## Response to Order for More Definite Statement

In response to this Order, the petitioner filed with this office a copy of the appealed document on January 20, 2006, two days past the established deadline. No other statement has been submitted nor has payment of the appeal filing fee been paid.

The Department's rules for adjudicatory proceedings dictate minimal form and content

requirements for a notice of claim for an adjudicatory appeal at 310 CMR 1.01(6)(b). All claims

shall state specifically, clearly and concisely the facts which are the grounds for the appeal, the

relief sought, and any additional information required by applicable law or regulation." Id. A

notice of claim shall also include a copy of the document being appealed. Id.

When the contents of a notice of claim do not meet the requirements of 310 CMR 1.01

and any other applicable regulations, the Presiding Officer shall dismiss the appeal or require a more definite statement. If the person filing the notice of claim fails to file a

more definite statement within the period specified, the appeal shall be dismissed.

310 CMR 1.01(6)(b) (12/17/2004).

Although the petitioner has now provided a copy of the appealed document, but has not

asserted any factual grounds for the appeal, or requested any relief through this adjudicatory

hearing process. No payment of the appeals filing fee has been made. As set out in the January

9<sup>th</sup> Order for a More Definite Statement, the petitioner was put on notice that if the information

and payment required by the Order was not provided by the deadline established, the claim

would be dismissed pursuant to 310 CMR 1.01(6)(b), 1.01 310 CMR 1.01(5)(a)15.f.vi and 310

CMR 4.06(1)(d). As the petitioner has failed as required by the Order to provide a statement of

the facts underlying his appeal and a description of the relief he seeks, and has failed to pay the

appeals filing fee, I recommend dismissal for failing to comply with an order evidencing lack of

prosecution.

<u>Timeliness</u>

From the copy of the UAO the petitioner did provide, it also appears there is a fatal

jurisdictional flaw of untimeliness, an independent basis for which I also recommend dismissal.

Jay Santos; 2005-247

The appealed UAO does not have a date on its first page but on the last page states

"issued on behalf of the Department of Environmental Protection this 10<sup>th</sup> day of November

2005" above the signature of Richard J. Chalpin, the Regional Director of the Northeast Regional

Office. It goes on to provide instructions for filing an appeal. "A request for a hearing must be

made in writing and must be received by the Department as provided in 310 CMR 1.01(6)(b)

within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order." UAO, paragraph 13.

The rules for adjudicatory hearings contain a general limitation at 310 CMR 1.01(6)(a) on

the time for filing a request for a hearing, when no other specific rule applies.

The notice shall be filed within the time prescribed by any applicable provision of law, or

in the absence of a prescribed time period, within 21 days from the date that the notice of

Department action was sent to a person.

Beginning the count of twenty-one days on November 11th, the day after the UAO was

signed, the twenty-first day is December 1, 2005. The last day for requesting an appeal was

therefore December 1, 2005, assuming that the UAO was sent by mail to the petitioner on the

date included within the Order as the date it was issued and signed.<sup>1</sup>

The petitioner's notice of claim (the fee transmittal form) was postmarked on December

2, 2005 and received by the Department on December 5, 2005. A document sent by mail is

considered filed on the date postmarked under the adjudicatory proceeding rules at 310 CMR

1.01(3)(a)3. In this case, the claim was mailed and postmarked on December 2, 2005, one day

after the twenty-one day time period prescribed.

<sup>1</sup> Although the UAO refers to the day starting the appeal time period as the date of the UAO, under 310 CMR 1.01(6)(a), the period starts with the day the Department's document was sent to the person. When a UAO is mailed

the same day it is dated, this difference will have no consequence. The UAO also indicates that the Department must receive a request for an adjudicatory appeal within twenty-one days, but I find no such requirement in the

Wetlands Protection Act regulations (310 CMR 10.00) or the rules for adjudicatory proceedings (310 CMR 1.01).

It appears that the claim for an adjudicatory hearing was untimely, and the Department

lacks jurisdiction to review this matter in an adjudicatory appeal. Matter of Sunoco Inc. (R&M),

Docket No. 2003-035, Recommended Final Decision (September 16, 2003) adopted by Final

Decision dated October 1, 2003; Matter of Raftery, Docket No. 2002-140, Recommended Final

Decision (August 14, 2002) adopted by Final Decision dated August 11, 2003; Matter of

Treasure Island Condominium Association, Docket No. 93-009, Final Decision (May 13, 1993).

I recommend that this appeal be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction due to untimeliness

under 310 CMR 1.01(5)(a)2 and 310 CMR 1.01(5)(a)15.f.v. and for failing to respond to an

Order and lack of prosecution pursuant to 310 CMR 310 CMR 1.01(10) and 310 CMR

1.01(5)(a)15.f.vi.

This final document copy is being provided to you electronically by the Department of Environmental Protection. A signed copy of this document is on file at the DEP office listed on the letterhead.

Ann Lowery

Presiding Officer

Adopted by Commissioner Robert W. Golledge, Jr., February 13, 2006.

Jay Santos; 2005-247

Recommended Final Decision